## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

ne:	4.03pm Weather Conditions: 50%	<u>\</u>	<u> </u>	<del></del>
		Yes	No	Notes
RL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	)		
1;	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
R Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	))	,	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		and the second seco
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X		A Militar Park Santa Park Andrea A
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		入	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		7	<del>and a second second</del>
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		X	The state of the s
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
0.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	<del>yk i til en fil en gerkere en e</del> n en kelter en
1.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		$X \vdash$	

Additional Notes:	<b>y</b>
And the second s	